Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/735,116	DUDEK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond J Henley III	1614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Raymond J Henley III.	(3)	-
(2) Offices of Ropes & Gray.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2004	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed:  None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Telephone call did not result in contact with Applicants' attorney.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
D. 11/4		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)